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List of Responses

Dear Editors and Reviewers

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewer’s comments concerning our manuscript ID OHEA-D-19-00083 entitled "A Prevalence of dental caries in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis". Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our article. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer’s comments are as flowing:

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

1. Response to comment: We note that the current submission contains some textual overlap with other previously published works, in particular:
   https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/426162/1/Sorsby_Paper_Accepted_Manuscript_Date_of_Acceptance_17_10_2018.pdf

   This overlap mainly exists in the Methodology, Results and Discussion. While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in this publication, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. If there is overlap in the Methods section, please ensure to summarize the methods and cite the source.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Please re-phrase these sections to minimise overlap.
Response: We are very sorry for incorrect writing. According to your comment, we have rephrased these sections and avoided overlap.

2. Response to comment: PRISMA. In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies (http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#standards+of+reporting), could you please ensure your manuscript reporting adheres to PRISMA guidelines (http://www.prisma-statement.org/) for reporting systematic reviews. This is so your methodology can be fully evaluated and utilised. Can you please include a completed PRISMA checklist as an additional file when submitting your revised manuscript.

   Please complete the checklist in full by inserting the page number/paragraph and section of your manuscript which reports the information that meets the criteria of the checklist. For example, “Methods, paragraph 2”. If a criterion is not applicable for your particular manuscript/study, we can accept “N/A”.

   Please note that checklists completed incorrectly will be returned for revision as we cannot progress your manuscript to peer review until the checklist has been completed.

Response: We are grateful for your insightful suggestion. According to your comment, we have added PRISMA checklist as an supplementary data.

3. Response to comment: Authors Contributions. Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

Response: We are very sorry for incorrect writing. It is really ture as you said. We have made correction.

4. Response to comment: Consent for Publication. Currently, the statement in your “consent for publication” section of your declarations is incorrect. Consent for publication refers to consent for the publication of identifying images or other personal or clinical details of participants that compromise anonymity. Seeing as this is not applicable to your manuscript please state “Not Applicable” in this section.

Response: We are very sorry for incorrect writing. It is really ture as you said. We have made correction.

5. Response to comment: Abbreviations. Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.

Response: We are very sorry for our negligence. It is really ture as you said. We have made correction.

6. Response to comment: Acknowledgements. Please change this to "Not Applicable".

Response: We are very sorry for incorrect writing. It is really ture as you said. We have made correction.

7. Response to comment: References. All references, including URLs, must be numbered consecutively, in square brackets, in the order in which they are cited in the text, followed by any in tables or legends. The reference numbers must be finalized and the reference list fully formatted before submission.

Response: We are very sorry for incorrect writing. It is really ture as you said. We have made correction.