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Reviewer's report:

1. Prevalence of dental erosion were not high in several Asia places, what's special in Indonesia to make the survey results meaningful to others?

2. The describing of stratified clustered random sampling method was not consistent in the abstract and the methods part of the manuscript, should correct.

3. Only 1 examiner was involved in the examination, then how inter-examiner kappa be calculated?

4. Besides, calibrating performance and kappa value for duplicated examination was not reported.

5. Which criteria was used for the diagnosis of dental caries in the children? Please specify clearly as well.

6. Will the diagnosis of dental caries affect the diagnosis of erosion? Will the two status diagnosed at the same time?

7. What's the average number of teeth with dental erosion in the children?

8. Detailed information on logistic regression modeling should be reported and the relating result table should be more informative.

9. Cross-sectional survey cannot find or verify the causal relationship between factors, be careful on the discussion on the relationship between dental caries and dental erosion, and be scientific in the finding interpreting.

10. I suggest the effect of the diet habit among different countries or regions on erosion be summarized in the background part and discussed in the discussion part.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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