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RE: Assessment of the oral health behavior, knowledge and status among dental and medical undergraduate students

The reviewer's concerns are as follows;

1. First of all, the reviewer could not understand the novelty of this manuscript. It is unclear that the comparison of oral health-related questionnaires between dental and medical students would actually lead to health promotion. The result of the manuscript might be related to Chinese situation, rather than international situation.

2. Please describe the each question and its response (categories) in the Mat & Meth section.

3. Line 155: "Then, many dental students of year 3 still need to improve their oral health behavior. " This sentence is not suitable for the Result section.

4. Please put the statistical method in the Table.

5. The authors cited reference about oral health knowledge and behavior of dental students or medical students in other countries (ref. 2, 26, 34, 35). Please compare the present results with the other countries.

6. There is no description for the limitation of the study
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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