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Comments:

Title:
The word 'standardised' must be corrected to standardized.
Full text must be revised considering English quality.

Abstract - Methods: The review method and planning were registered at Prospero (PROSPERO2016:CRD42016038590). Unnecessary information, you could cut this from the abstract and keep the phrase in methods section only.

Correct English - "Corresponding author".
Keywords - Please use Mesh terms.

Methods - Was there any specific reason for the research period beginning in 2000? If no, this is an important limitation of this systematic review.

Results - Long Text, you may synthesize it in a summary of findings table, or just summarize it to be easier to read and follow.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable as this paper is a systematic review.
Consent to publish: All the authors gave their consent to the publication of the paper.
Availability of data and materials: Data and materials (Supplementary file 1, 2 and 3) are available via the BMC Oral Health website.
Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests. There are no financial competing interests as we have not received any grants. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.
Acknowledgements: The authors...

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
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3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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