Author’s response to reviews

Title: Are standardised caries risk assessment models effective in assessing actual caries status and future caries increment? A systematic review.

Authors:
Maria Grazia Cagetti (maria.cagetti@unimi.it)
Giuliana Bontà (okbonta@libero.it)
Fabio Cocco (fcocco@uniss.it)
Peter Lingstrom (peter.lingstrom@odontologi.gu.se)
Laura Strohmenger (laura.strohmenger@unimi.it)
Guglielmo Campus (gcampus@uniss.it)

Version: 2 Date: 27 Jun 2018

Author’s response to reviews:

OHEA-D-18-00084 “Are standardised caries risk assessment models effective in assessing actual caries status and future caries increment? A systematic review”.

Maria Grazia Cagetti; Giuliana Bontà; Fabio Cocco; Peter Lingstrom; Laura Strohmenger; Guglielmo Campus

Editor Comments:

1. Please note that the Consent for publication statement refers to consent obtained to include any individual person’s data in any form (including individual details, images or videos). Therefore, please state "Not applicable" in this section as no personal information is provided in your manuscript. The statement regarding authors' approval of the final manuscript should instead be included in the Authors’ contributions section of the Declarations.

Done
2. Please include the email addresses of all authors on the title page of your manuscript. Currently, the email addresses for Fabio Cocco and Guglielmo Campus are not included.

Done

3. In the Authors' contributions section, please refer to all authors by their initials rather than full names.

Done

4. Please also include a statement in Authors’ contributions clearly indicating that all authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Done

5. Please provide a List of abbreviations after the Conclusions section. If abbreviations are used in the text, they should be defined in the text at first use and included in this list.

Done

6. After the List of abbreviations, please include a “Declarations” heading.

Done

7. Please provide a section “Additional Files” after References where you list the following information about each of your supplementary files:

- File name (e.g. Additional File 1)

- Title of data
- Brief description of the data

Please ensure that all additional files are explicitly referred to in the main text.

Done

8. The Availability of data and materials section refers to the raw data used in your study. Please amend your statement to match one of our standard forms. Data availability statements can take one of the following forms (or a combination of more than one if required for multiple datasets):

- The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are available in the [NAME] repository, [PERSISTENT WEB LINK TO DATASETS]

- The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

- The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due [REASON WHY DATA ARE NOT PUBLIC] but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

- Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

- The data that support the findings of this study are available from [third party name] but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of [third party name].

Done
9. Please cite and indicate where all figures and tables should appear at the relevant locations in the text file so that they can be added in the correct place during production.

Done

10. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Done

11. Please also address the points raised by reviewer 3 in the Reviewer reports section at the end of this email.

Done

Reviewer reports:

Sergio E Uribe, PhD., MSc., DDS. (Reviewer 3): Changes has been made according to previous report.

This review confirm previous similar reviews that summarizes the knowledge about caries risk assessment methods, adding new available reports.

Could be of utility to the reader to summarize in the Table 1 the numbers of factors per CRA.

In Table 2 the source column could be deleted without loss of information since is included in the reference of the previous column.

Table 3 could be modified to allow easy comparison among caries increment, reorganizing every subset from dmft to DMFT, DFT and DFS
Table 1, 2 and 3 were modified following the reviewer’s suggestions.