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Author’s response to reviews:

Thank you very much for your helpful comment. Please find in the following our point-to-point answer:

1. The abstract should be structured with subheadings labelled as the following 'Background', 'Main Text' and 'Discussion'. These have been added.

2. Please change the heading 'Summary' to 'Conclusion'. This has been changed.

3. Debate articles still require the following declarations. All declarations are 'not applicable'. This has been added.

4. For the 'Competing Interests' declaration please state if any members of the author list are member of the editorial board for BMC Oral Health. This has been added for Professor Martin Schimmel.