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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors,

I think this paper deals with an important issue regarding rendering oral health care to children and the improvement of care. It is a good thing that the authors followed up on earlier research. However, I have some comments regarding the methods, the presentation of the results (+ tables) and the discussion.

Methods

- See comment in word-document of manuscript regarding feedback on questionnaire.

- I would expect some relation between the questions/statements reciprocal (clusters) and between questions/statements and some characteristics of dentists. I think it would be worthwhile to investigate these relations, especially considering implementation.

Results

- See comment in word-document of manuscript regarding presentation and description of the results. This section is rather long.

Discussion

In my opinion the discussion paragraph needs editing, because it is quit long, results are being described again and too little attention has been paid to implication of the results. The discussion can be more focused on the implementation problem, that emphatically comes to the surface. See comments in word-document of manuscript.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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