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Reviewer's report:

The paper titled "Evaluation of the content quality of websites for recurrent aphthous ulcers and oral lichen planus" is an interesting work that evaluates the quality of information on websites for recurrent aphthous ulcers and oral lichen planus in Chinese and English. Nevertheless, this paper has some minor and major errors.

Minor errors:

1). In abstract, page 1, line 37 and in methods, page 5, lines 38-39; the minimum number of pages per saved search must be specified. 50 or 100?

2). In methods, page 5, lines 1-27, the date for websites accessed must be included.

Major errors:

1). The authors have used only the DISCERN rating instrument. Why?? Only with this instrument the information is very brief. Why JAMA benchmarks, existence of the Health on the Not (HON) seal and Health Information Quality (HIQ) were not used.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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