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Reviewer's report:

Dear Authors,

In my previous review of this manuscript I only had five comments:

1) When checking percentages reported, for instance in the abstract, it is obvious that there appear to be inconsistencies. I suppose they are caused by a varying number of missing on the different items. It is particularly obvious when 11 daily users of Toombak constitute 64.7% of the 22 ever users. Perhaps the authors could take a look at this and perhaps add a sentence which explains this problem.

2) All alpha values reported are quite low. This deserves to be mentioned as a limitation of the Study. Could it be that alpha underestimates the true reliability of the scales? Some elaboration on this would improve the quality of the manuscript.

3) In tables 1 and 2, line number are included as contents of the tables. Must be corrected.

4) There is no reference to Fig. 1 in the text.

5) The y-axis in Fig 1 is a percentage scale. When it comes to knowledge, I suppose it means % correct answers. But for the attitude scale I am not sure what it means. There may be a need to explain to the readers what the percentages mean.
As far as I am able to see, none of them have been addressed, and I have found no comments to my remarks in your answers to the editor.

I find it difficult to recommend publication without any response from you.

It should be very easy to make the small adjustments that I have recommended. I wish you luck with the final revision.

All this is based on the assumption that my review has been made available to you and that I have received correct versions of the manuscript and your remarks to the reviews.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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