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Reviewer's report:
Even though most of the comments are addressed, the manuscript still needs minor revision. These are some of my concern:

Result
1. Prevalence of ever use toombak is 22 on page 10 line 216 But this number is different in table
2. Example: age group <40(5) and >=40(16)= 5+16=21 , Gender =21 and knowledge category =21. How do you justify the difference?
2. I'm also not clear with your sample size because on page 5 under sampling procedure you were recruited a total of 239 school workers. But on table 2: Age group -310; gender-304; Teaching health issues- 313 and others. Similar problems on table 3 how could you justify these discrepancies? What is a percentage of non response rates?
3. Your analysis part is not clear. Try to put summary of your analysis(Multivariate) on one table

Recommendation
I'm not able assess recommendations authors draw from this finding at different stage. For example: for teachers, Sudan states, policy makers etc

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Acceptable
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If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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