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Reviewer's report:

This is "as expected" a study with negative findings.

Following points to be considered in a revision:

1. Instead of using "Xerostomia" it is more suitable to use the word "Dry Mouth" for this paper as xerostomia was subjective and not confirmed objectively.

2. van der Meij and van der Waal paper should not be used as the reference paper for patient selection in studies of this nature. They were referring to "atypical cases" of oral lichen planus. Your discussion should mention this limitation.

3. Data for patch tests for OLL group should be completely reported. If Danish cases have no amalgam in the mouths this should be clarified or otherwise positive reactions to Amalgam, Hg stated (even if zero)

4. Due to negative results reported here: Title should be changed to say explicitly : Patients with OLP/OLL have no salivary hypofunction. Then the reader can decide!

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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