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Reviewer’s report:

- COMMENT 1: LINE 20: MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISIONS: Keywords are too general. I would suggest to exclude "outcome" and consider "deferred endodontic treatment".
- COMMENT 2: LINE 30: MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISIONS: specify parameters of endodontical success: which clinical signs and symptoms were considered? How was the x-rays follow up scheduled?
- COMMENT 3: LINE 43: DISCRETIONARY REVISIONS: how was the postoperative pain assessed? Which pain classification did you use?
- COMMENT 4: LINE 62: MAJOR COMPULSORY REVISIONS: which meta-analysis are you referring to? I didn't find it in the references.
- COMMENT 5: LINE 130: DISCRETIONARY REVISIONS: which material did you use for temporary seal? which medication did you use? How were the multiple visits scheduled?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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