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**Reviewer's report:**

Dear Editor,

Thank you for the opportunity to review this valuable study. The article is very interesting since great interest is growing around the stem cells topic in Dentistry nowadays.

Nevertheless minor revision is recommended. My concerns are as follows:

1. Title
   - OK
2. Abstract
   - OK
3. Keywords
   - OK
4. Introduction
   - ok
5. Materials and Methods
   - OK
6. Discussion
   - Ok
7. Conclusions
   - OK
8. References
   - Ok
article very well written and interesting, results too long, is recommended to split it into two parts.

**Level of interest:** An article of outstanding merit and interest in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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