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Dear Editor-in-Chief,

We would like to thank Prof. Joanna Janiszewska-Olszowska for the meticulous evaluation of the submitted manuscript and the valuable comments.

REVIEWER 2 – Prof. Joanna Janiszewska-Olszowska

- “Discussion, line 279 (14 from bottom of discussion section) There should be: "the overjet and the skeletal sagittal discrepancy were not evaluated in this study" The word "not" is missing”
  The recommended correction has been made.

- “line 66 (paragraph 2) I think was not to be "than did those", but should be "than in those"” (typesetting error?)”
  The recommended correction has been made.

- “line 73 (paragraph 3) The word "is" could be added before "showing differences"”
  The recommended correction has been made.

- “line 78 (paragraph 4) There could be better : ... is a factor that influences ... (not: influence)”
  The recommended correction has been made.

- “line 80 "similar" instead of "similarities" could be better used”
  The recommended correction has been made.

- “line 257 (paragraph 5) The word "in" could be added between "this was" and "agreement"”
  The recommended correction has been made.