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Reviewer's report:

The revised manuscript has been appropriately amended, although it is unfortunate the author did not measurement of detail lipid marker such as Apolipoproteins.

It is difficult to explain what is genetic testing, because there are no correct Guidelines for genetic testing.

In general, genetic testing, also known as DNA testing, is used to identify changes in DNA sequence or chromosome structure.

On the other hand, genetic testing can also include measuring the results of genetic changes, such as RNA analysis as an output of gene expression, or through biochemical analysis to measure specific protein output.

So, we will check the Apolipoproteins, lipoprotein(a), LPL activity, RLP-Cholesterol etc. as lipid marker for correct diagnosis of type of dyslipidemia.

The patient do not have family history, therefore measurement of lipid marker is necessary for correct diagnosis.

In fact, the author changed the diagnosis for type of dyslipidemia from first manuscript.

However I think the author have no more detail lipid marker. The revised manuscript has been appropriately amended, if we think the Apolipoprotein, lipoprotein(a), LPL activity, RLP-Cholesterol etc. as genetic testing. If you think the lipid marker is not genetic testing in this case, please change the word "genetic testing" when describe the measurement of Apolipoprotein levels etc. It is better the author use "genetic testing" when they describe genomic mutation testing.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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