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Author’s response to reviews:

January 22th, 2020

BMC Endocrine Disorders

Dear Dr. Elizabeth Debney
We are pleased to enclose an extensively revised manuscript entitled ‘Autoimmune polyglandular syndrome type 2 and autoimmune hepatitis in thymoma-associated myasthenia gravis.’

We thank you for your comments and suggestions allowing us to improve the quality of the manuscript. We have answered all of the questions raised by reviewers and editors.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely yours,

Hidefumi Inaba

Editor Comments:

1. Please address the remaining reviewer comments.
   --- We thank the reviewer for the comment. We have corrected manuscript as addressed by the reviewer.

2. Your manuscript needs to be copyedited. We recommend that you use a professional language editing service or a native English speaking colleague.
   --- We thank the editor for the recommendation. Our native English-speaking teacher: Dr. Benjamin Phillis (Wakayama Medical University) edited the whole manuscript twice.

3. In the Availability of data and materials section, please clarify who to contact in order to request the data for this case report.
   --- We have mentioned corresponding author as a contact person.

4. The individual contributions of all authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Currently the specific contributions of each author are not described.
   --- We have described individual contributions of all authors to the manuscript.
5. In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

--- We have clarified the role of funding body.

6. Now that peer-review is complete, please remove the CARE checklist from the file inventory. Please add a statement to the manuscript that you adhered to CARE guidelines/methodology.

--- We have added the statement to the manuscript.

7. In the consent for publication section, please also clarify why consent was obtained from the family in addition to the patient.

--- We have informed the patient and the daughter at same time in our hospital; thus, the consent was obtained from the patient and her daughter.

8. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colors. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

--- We have revised the manuscript as addressed above.

Reviewer reports:

Can Ebru Bekircan-Kurt (Reviewer 1): Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

-The sentence (line 87) "The result of repetitive nerve stimulation test at 3 Hz performed on the right facial nerve was positive" must be replaced by "The repetitive nerve stimulation test at 3 Hz of the right facial nerve revealed decremental response."

--- We thank the reviewer for the comment. We have revised the manuscript as addressed by the reviewer.