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Author’s response to reviews:

Point to point review:

1. Please rename the "Ethnic Approval and consent to participate" section "Ethics approval and consent to participate". In this section, please include the full name of the ethics committee (and the institute to which it belongs) that approved the study and the committee’s reference number if appropriate.

Re: Thanks. We have revised the "Ethnic Approval and consent to participate" to "Ethics approval and consent to participate". (Declarations section, Page11, Line 226)

2. Please include the full name of the ethics committee (and the institute to which it belongs) that approved the study and the committee’s reference number if appropriate.

Re: Yes, we have added the institute name of the revised manuscript. Now it reads: "The present study was approved by the Local Medical Institutional Review Board of the Reproductive Medicine of Shandong University. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.” (Declarations section, Page11, Line 227-229)

3. The individual contributions of all authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Currently the contributions of BQZ are not described. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship
Re: Sorry for the missing. Bingqian Zhang analyzed the data and drafted the article. We have added it in the revised article. (Declarations section, Page11, Line 243-244)

4. In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Re: Thanks. The funding body had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of data and preparation the manuscript. (Declarations section, Page11, Line 239-240)

5. Please remove the point by point response and duplicate manuscript additional files, as they are no longer required at this stage.

Re: Thanks. We have removed the point by point response and duplicate manuscript additional files this time.

6. Your manuscript needs to be copy edited. We recommend that you use a professional language editing service or a native English speaking colleague. For authors who wish to have the language in their manuscript edited by a native-English speaker with scientific expertise, BioMed Central has a new in house editing service. The new editing tool can provide both scientific and language editing: http://authorservices.springernature.com/

Re: Thanks. The manuscript has been copy edited by a native speaker.

7. Please put your responses to the reviewers'/editors’ comments in the Response to Reviewers box in Editorial Manager. Please do not upload a separate letter. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Additional files should remain uploaded as separate files. Please ensure that all figures, tables and additional/supplementary files are cited within the text.

Re: Thanks for your attention. We have uploaded this time as requested.