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Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

This perhaps is an important work for your country. Nevertheless, some statement on this is warranted.

Abstract: in your conclusion, the statement could be misunderstood as causative effect of factors to sexual dysfunction. To be more accurate, reflecting observational study, the statement is better written as "presence of depression, not doing physical exercise and having other comorbidity are modifiable factors associated sexual dysfunction and they should be attended to during care addressing sexual dysfunction"

In the introduction, I have the impression that sexual dysfunction is under-studied in Ethiopia, but there is no objective statement from the authors, beside ref 9. Could the authors clarify this in a statement? Is there other study in Ethiopia?

In tool development:

* line 37, pg 5, what was pre-tested for and what was modified. Was the pretest a form of face-validation? If so, this need to clarify in the text.

* Line 57, pg 5: how were the behavioral factors and medical condition measured? And how they were categorized?

* Strickly, hypertension is not a complication, rather, a co-morbidity

* Please provide ethics approval number.

Results:
Page 7: what is the unit for FBS?

Table 2: presenting the "yes" would be sufficient. As the "no" is just the reciprocal of "yes"

Table 3: "glycemic control" is better to include the criteria used, i.e. the level of FBS; clarify what complication does this refer to?

Is there a particular reason for age to be categorized to 41?

Title for figure 3 is not clear: the prevalence of different type of sexual dysfunction?

Discussion:

Just before limitation, the authors might want to state what is "new" in this study. Comparisons were made, but is there any new information that we learnt from this study?

The limitation should also include a statement on how representative of this study population compared to general population, as the patient seeing in referral centres represent a different health risk.

Ref 8 is incomplete

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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