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Reviewer's report:

- even if daunted by missing values, clinical outcomes should be reported. theoretically, the importance of methodology should be stronger than any significant P value. also, the lack of clinical outcomes is discussed.

- quantitative tests for comparison of data in Table 2 should be provided (P values).

- a longitudinal analysis for repeated-measures could be performed (0-6-12 months) and would be extremely useful to monitor temporal trends. in case of repeated measures, longitudinal analysis might produce significant results that cannot be evaluated in simple analysis of paired data.

- scales (e.g. WHO-5) are generally considered as ordinal variables rather than continuous, please verify this issue and correct Table 2 accordingly.

- English language should be revised by a native speaker to improve fluency.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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