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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your efforts to review our manuscript, and especially for your deep insight, detailed comments and explanations. We tried our best to answer your questions and made revisions clearly. Please let us know if you have any more comments or suggestions.

Editor’s comment:

1. Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.
   Our Response:
   We added the "Conclusions" section after the "Discussion" section.

Editor’s comment:

2. Please rename the "Participants and Methods" section to "Methods".
   Our Response:
   We renamed the "Participants and Methods" section to "Methods".
Editor’s comment:

3. As many abbreviations are used throughout the paper, we ask that you include a list of abbreviations (before the declarations section) for reference and ease of reading. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.
Our Response:
We added a list of abbreviations before the declarations section.

Editor’s comment:

4. After the abbreviations and before the Acknowledgements, please add a "Declarations" header.
Our Response:
We added a "Declarations" header between the abbreviations and the Acknowledgements.

Editor’s comment:

5. Please remove the funding information from the Acknowledgements and include it in the Funding section instead. If you have no further acknowledgements please put “Not Applicable” in the Acknowledgements section.
Our Response:
We removed the funding information from the Acknowledgements and include it in the Funding section instead. We have corrected Acknowledgements section.

Editor’s comment:

6. The individual contributions of all authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Currently the individual contributions of MZ and PS are not included.
Our Response:
We have corrected this section and the individual contributions of MZ and PS have been included.

Editor’s comment:

7. In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.
Our Response:
We have clarified the role of the funding body in the manuscript.
Editor’s comment:

8. There are two titles for figure 1, including one directly beneath table 8. Please amend this.
Our Response:
We have removed the duplicates.

Editor’s comment:

9. Please clarify whether the questionnaire used in your study was developed for this study or has previously been published elsewhere. If the questionnaire has been published elsewhere please provide a reference to it in your manuscript, if the questionnaire was developed for this study please upload an English language version as a supplementary file.
Our Response:
The questionnaire in the manuscript has been published and we have introduced it in the text by reference.

Editor’s comment:

10. At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.
Our Response:
The article has been modified according to your content above.