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Reviewer's report:

Thanks for reviewing some critical points underlined and for making some aspects of the study clearer.

There are some other points to edit:

- When there is a reference to another previous study it would be useful, if necessary for the purpose of the article, to put the relevant information also in a synthesized form, otherwise, if not necessary, is better not to put a sentence underlining that more data are present in other place (for example page 7 - line 138, 139).

- In table 2 is better to divide (for example with some lines) data about dietary intake, physical activity and anthropometric measures in men and women, in order to make it easier to understand.

- It would be useful to explain better lines 180 - 182, page 9: how NOT TO eat certain foods (or consume less of certain foods) may reduce metabolic abnormalities.

- Lot of grammatical problems are fixed but is better to review the article another time because there are other errors spread in the text.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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