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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thank you very much for your decision letter and advice on our manuscript (Manuscript BEND-D-19-00262R1) entitled “Evaluation of the role of adipokines (vaspin and visfatin) in vascular endothelial function and insulin resistance in obese children”.

We also thank the reviewers for the constructive and positive comments and suggestions. Accordingly, we have revised the manuscript. English has been polished by a native professional medical writer and editor. In addition, point-by-point responses to the comments are listed below this letter.

We hope that the revision is acceptable for the publication in your journal.

Look forward to hearing from you soon.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely,

Yanfeng Xiao

First, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to the reviewers for their constructive and positive comments. Language has been polished by a native English speaking professional medical writer and editor.

Below are our responses to every comment from the reviewers:
Replies to Reviewer 1

1. The sentence "Multiple regression analyses confirmed that vaspin is the strongest predictor of tumor necrosis factor-a (TNF-a), interleukin-6 (IL-6), angiotensin-2 (Ang-2), vascular cellular adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), and E-selectin levels." is not clear. Do you mean strongest predictor of higher ….?
Response: We apologize for the confusion. The sentence has been revised in Abstract section, line 14, page 1 in the manuscript.

2. The sentence "In order to evaluate the relationship between metabolism, inflammatory and endothelial parameters and insulin resistance." is not completed.
Response: We apologize for our oversight. The sentence has been revised in results section, line 11, page 6 in the manuscript.

3. single typos: eg. Page 11- line 9 - study not "stuy".
Response: This typo has been corrected in Discussion section, line 9, page 8.

Again, thanks for your time and patience.