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Author’s response to reviews:

RE: BMC Endocrine Disorders - BEND-D-19-00040R1

Dear Prof. Elizabeth Debney

Thank you very much for giving us the opportunity to publish our manuscript in BMC Endocrine Disorders.
Please find our replies to points raised at the end of this letter.
We hope that the revised manuscript may be suitable for publication in the BMC Endocrine disorders.

Sincerely yours,

Martin Reincke

Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV
Ludwig Maximilian University Munich
Ziemssenstr. 1, 80336 Munich
Germany

Martin.Reincke@med.uni-muenchen.de

Replies to the Editor comments
Editor Comments:

-Please move the keywords section to beneath the abstract and before the background section.

Accordingly, we moved the keywords section to beneath the abstract and before the background section (Line 57, Page 3)

-In order to adhere to our submission guidelines (https://bmcendoerdisord.biomedcentral.com/submission-guidelines/preparing-your-manuscript/case-report), please combine the Discussion and Conclusion section into a single section titled "Discussion and Conclusions".

Discussion and Conclusion have been combined accordingly into a single section titled "Discussion and Conclusions” (Line 174, Page 7)

-In the “Funding” section of your declarations, please clarify the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

In the” funding” section, we added: “The funding body had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in the writing the manuscript.” (Line 252-254 Pages 10, 11)

-The Author contribution section includes reference to GH as an author, who is not listed as an author elsewhere. Please clarify whether GH should be included as an author for this manuscript.

If you choose to change your author list you will need to fill out a change in authorship form and send it by email to the Editorial office to be approved by the Editor. The form can be found here: https://resource-cms.springernature.com/springer-cms/rest/v1/content/7454878/data/v5

We apologize for the mistake. We wrote GH instead of JH. Now it is correctly stated (Line 258 Page 11)

-The individual contributions of all authors to the manuscript should be specified in the Authors’ Contributions section. Currently the individual contributions of JH are not included. Guidance and criteria for authorship can be found here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#authorship

We apologize for the mistake. In the text, we wrote GH instead of JH. Now it is correctly stated (Line 258, Page 11)

-Please move table 1 after the references

We accordingly moved table 1 after the references (Line 379 Page 14)