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Author’s response to reviews:

To Reviewer 1

Dear expert:

Thank you for your letter and for your comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Effects of semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase inhibitors on morphology of aorta and kidney in diabetic rats” (BEND-D-19-00025R1). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval.

The main corrections in the paper and the responds to your comments are as flowing:

1. We accept the advice of expert and use "markers of vascular dysfunction" instead of "diabetic vascular complications" in the manuscript. (lines 5 and 7 and 10 and 18-19, page 13)

2. We added the integrity of the sentence. "to evaluate whether the inhibition of SSAO activity had a beneficial effect on the morphological changes of aorta and kidney in diabetic rats. " (lines 4-5, page 4)

3. We accept expert advice, replace "pathological changes" by "morphological changes". (lines 2 and 8, page 3; line 5, page 4; lines 16-17 and 25, page 13)

4. We removed the sentence “The renal arteries and carotid arteries were not selected because of the lack of adequate literature.”

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.
Best Wishes

To Reviewer 2

Dear expert:

Thank you for your letter and for your comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Effects of semicarbazide-sensitive amine oxidase inhibitors on morphology of aorta and kidney in diabetic rats” (BEND-D-19-00025R1). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to your comments are as flowing:

1. We agree with expert, we have modified the relevant term to plasma nitrate/nitrite NO(x)- in abstract/results/discussion and Fig. 6 (y-axis). (lines 22 and 28 and 30, page 2; line 8, page 6; line 1, page 7; lines 1-3, page 8; line 8, page 13; lines 25-26, page17)

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best Wishes