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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor

Thank you very much for having read our article so carefully. We have now worked thoroughly through the manuscript again and revised it according to your comments.

We have attached a “clean version” of the manuscript: “Main Document_R3.2”. The point-by-point answers are inserted in a copy of the comments below.

We look forward to hearing from you again.

On behalf of all authors.

Yours sincerely

Anne Arreskov

M.D. Ph.D. student
Research Unit for General Practice in Copenhagen
University of Copenhagen
Editor Comments:
1. Overlap

Thank you for the edits you have made to reduce overlap. Some minor overlap in the methods still exists, however since this overlap is contained within the Methods section, and rephrasing the methods would not be conducive to future reproducibility of your study, we ask that, for these sections of textual overlap, you provide a summarizing statement explaining this methodology has been published previously and provide the attribution to the sources mentioned above. For instance, at the start of paragraphs with overlap from similar methodologies, you could write: “We used the methodology previously described by ________”

Alternatively, please re-phrase these sections further to minimise overlap. Skriv det ind i afsnittene.

Answer: We have now provided a summarizing statement in the beginning of the Methods paragraph, which explains that this methodology has been published previously.

P. 4 L 94: “A description of the study design has also been reported earlier [16-18]”

P. 6 L 150 “A description of all variables and definitions has previously been published [16-19]”

P. 7 L. 169 “A description of the study design has also been reported earlier [16-18]”

2. Figure 1

We note that figure 1 has also been included in the supplementary file. Please ensure figure 1 is included either as a figure or as an additional file and not both. Please remove any duplicate figures or additional files.

Answer: Thank you for your comment. Figure 1 is now only presented in the supplementary.

3. Duplicate tables

We note you have included multiple copies of the same tables in your manuscript. Please remove duplicate tables so only one version of each table is provided in your manuscript

Answer: Thank you for your comment. The tables are now only included in the Main Document R3.2.

4. Cite all tables, figures and additional files

Please ensure that all figures/tables and supplementary files are cited within the text. Any items which are not cited may be deleted by our production department upon publication.
5. Trial registration

Please remove the ethics information from the "trial registration" section (below the abstract). This section should only include information regarding the Trail registration.

Answer: We have now removed the ethics from the “trial registration” P. 2 l. 51:

“TRIAL REGISTRATION: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01074762 (February 24, 2010)”

6. Clean manuscript

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours.

All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Please remove any files that should not be published along with your manuscript e.g. cover letters, reviewer responses, guidelines etc., ready for publication.

BMC Endocrine Disorders operates a policy of open peer review, which means that you will be able to see the names of the reviewers who provided the reports via the online peer review system. We encourage you to also view the reports there, via the action links on the left-hand side of the page, to see the names of the reviewers.

Reviewer reports:

If improvements to the English language within your manuscript have been requested, you should have your manuscript reviewed by someone who is fluent in English. If you would like professional help in revising this manuscript, you can use any reputable English language editing service. We can recommend our affiliates Nature Research Editing Service (http://bit.ly/NRES_BS) and American Journal Experts (http://bit.ly/AJE_BS) for help with English usage. Please note that use of an editing service is neither a requirement nor a guarantee of publication. Free assistance is available from our English language tutorial (https://www.springer.com/gb/authors-editors/authorandreviewertutorials/writinginenglish) and our Writing resources (http://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/writing-resources). These cover common mistakes that occur when writing in English.

---------------Editorial Policies---------------
Please read the following information and revise your manuscript as necessary. If your manuscript does not adhere to our editorial requirements this will cause a delay whilst the issue is addressed. Failure to adhere to our policies may result in rejection of your manuscript.

In accordance with BioMed Central editorial policies and formatting guidelines, all submissions to BMC Endocrine Disorders must have a Declarations section which includes the mandatory sub-sections listed below. Please refer to the journal's Submission Guidelines web page for information regarding the criteria for each sub-section (https://bmcendocrdisord.biomedcentral.com/).

Where a mandatory section is not relevant to your study design or article type, for example, if your manuscript does not contain any individual persons data, please write "Not applicable" in these sections.

For the 'Availability of data and materials' section, please provide information about where the data supporting your findings can be found. We encourage authors to deposit their datasets in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate), or to be presented within the manuscript and/or additional supporting files. Please note that identifying/confidential patient data should not be shared. Authors who do not wish to share their data must state that data will not be shared, and provide reasons for this in the manuscript text. For further guidance on how to format this section, please refer to BioMed Central's editorial policies page - http://www.biomedcentral.com/submissions/editorial-policies#availability+of+data+and+materials.
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