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Reviewer's report:

This paper discusses the findings of a national Greek survey, called AGREEMENT, on a representative sample of 1191 adult type 2 diabetes patients from 59 sites. Results from disease registries are always useful as they reflect changing clinical practice and highlight unmet clinical needs.

Comments

1. One limitation of the current study is that reflects clinical practice 3-4 years ago as patients were recruited between June 2014 and June 2015.

2. Page 7; line 20: a closing bracket is missing.

3. Page 8; lines 16-18: I could find no data on PPG levels.

4. Table 1: Data on eGFR should be provided if possible.

5. Tables 1-3: P-values for post-hoc pairwise comparisons between groups should be provided when P for Kruskal-Walis test is significant.

6. Please write LDL-C and HDL-C instead of LDL and HDL.

7. Table 1: abbreviations should be explained.

8. Data on medications should be provided, including antidiabetics, units of insulin per body weight, statins etc.

9. Table 1: data on Living status should be the other way round.

10. Page 8; line 55: How was compliance to diet and medications assessed?

11. Table 1: How was financial status assessed?

12. Any data on hypo's?
13. Reference #17: How can this survey be reached?

14. Table 1: microalbuminuria, total cholesterol and triglycerides are repeated twice.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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