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Reviewer's report:

"REVISION ASSESSMENT FROM THE ACADEMIC PEER REVIEWER:

Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? Yes

Reviewer comments: A lot of thought and care has gone into making the necessary revisions and the manuscript is now a lot clearer to read. there are one or two typo's or phrases that still need to be addressed:

P6 L3: either decapitalise the 'A' or start the sentence here and remove the phrase 'At the same time'.

P6 L6 remove the word 'The' from start of sentence.

P14 L19-20:there is a full stop before 'Poor compliance... but I think one of the references refers to the sentence that ends '...not clear enough.'.

P15 L17 change from 'this study should' to 'studies should' so that it does not look like you are referring to your paper.

P17 L1-3: The grammar and flow is not great here (capital I in in 'It's, need capital S at start of sentence 2, ...on the result of what?')- please revise).

P8 L7 and L19 for the exclusion criteria number 5) I would suggest changing to the terminology you provide in the response document ('duplicate publications of the same data set') as it is more informative than 'redundant publications'.

Good Job!"

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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