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Author’s response to reviews:

Declare RE: BEND-D-18-00220R1, entitled "Mobile Health in the Management of Type 1 Diabetes, A systematic review"

Dear Editor:

Thank you for the detailed review of our manuscript and the helpful suggestions given by the reviewers and the editorial team. We have worked hard to address all the comments raised and have made adjustments to our manuscript where we could. Please see below for the detailed point-by-point response. We hope you find our revised version acceptable for publication in BMC Endocrine Disorders.
Thank you again for your time and patience. Look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely yours,

Maolin

Reviewer reports:

Reviewer 2 (Reviewer 2): "REVISION ASSESSMENT FROM THE ACADEMIC PEER REVIEWER:

Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? Yes

Reviewer comments: A lot of thought and care has gone into making the necessary revisions and the manuscript is now a lot clearer to read. there are one or two typo's or phrases that still need to be addressed:

P6 L3: either decapitalise the 'A' or start the sentence here and remove the phrase 'At the same time'.
Response: Thanks reviewer for the comment. We revised the article (Background, Line 3-5, Page 6).

P6 L6 remove the word 'The' from start of sentence.
Response: Thanks reviewer for the comment. We revised the article (Background, Line 6, Page 6).

P14 L19-20: there is a full stop before 'Poor compliance... but I think one of the references refers to the sentence that ends '...not clear enough.'.
Response: Thanks reviewer for the comment. We revised the article (Discussion, Line 17-19, Page 14).

P15 L17 change from 'this study should' to 'studies should' so that it does not look like you are referring to your paper.

Response: Thanks reviewer for the comment. We revised the article (Discussion, Line 16, Page 15).

P17 L1-3: The grammar and flow is not great here (capital I in in 'It's, need capital S at start of sentence 2, ...on the result of what?)- please revise).

Response: Thanks reviewer for the comment. We revised the article (Discussion, Line 20-21, Page 16, Line 1-2, Page 17).

P8 L7 and L19 for the exclusion criteria number 5) I would suggest changing to the terminology you provide in the response document ('duplicate publications of the same data set') as it is more informative than 'redundant publications'.

Response: We revised the manuscript in accordance with the reviewers’ comments. We have corrected incorrect terminology (Methods, Line 6-7 and Line 18-19, Page 8).