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Reviewer's report:

In this study the authors have presented the clinical analysis of 15 cases of malignant insulinomas managed at their Institution. The have retrospectively analysed their data and presented the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches, while highlighting the natural history of the disease. The presentation is good and to the point. While the number of patients is low, even accounting for the rarity of tumor, the patient analysis is comprehensive. Because the patient enrollment spans over decades the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches are a bit heterogeneous.

These points to be added under the study limitations. Also, it would be recommended that the authors change the title of the study to "Clinical Management of Malignant Insulinoma: A single Institutional experience of three decades" or something similar. The current title is too bland.

Also, Insulinomas are most common FUNCTIONAL neuroendocrine tumors and not the most common pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (non functioning are commonest). This needs to be corrected in the manuscript.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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