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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Prof. Fagelson:

Thank you for your letter and for the comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Assisted reproductive techniques improved fertility of congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis” (ID: BEND-D-18-00229). Those reminders are all valuable and very helpful for revising and correcting our paper. We have studied carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and responds are as flowing:

1. Response to comment: “Please provide more justification for the contributions of XYW, JFM and MN as currently they do not automatically qualify for authorship in “Authors’ Contribution” section of the Declarations.”

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. Every author’s contribution was listed in this portion. All authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.
2. Response to comment: “Please provide details of why this was waived and the institution/committee that approved the waiver in your “ethical approval and consent to participate” section of your declarations.”

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. The analyses were based on previous published studies, thus no ethical approval and patient consent are required according to the regulation of Peking Union Medical College Hospital ethic committee. All previous published studies were approved by ethics committee respectively.

3. Response to comment: “Please make sure the abstract follows the format outlined in our submission guidelines: Background, Methods Results, Conclusions headings only.”

Response: We have re-written this part and adjusted the headings according to the format.

4. Response to comment: “Please provided the raw data in a supplementary file or manuscript and stated the details on how to access it in “Availability of data and material”.

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. The available data and materials section refers to the raw data used in our study are included in manuscript with tables, figures and its supplementary information files. All the authors agreed that the data could be shared if researchers required.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We appreciate for Editors’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best wishes,

Yinjie, Gao