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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Prof. Fagelson:

Thank you for your letter and for the comments concerning our manuscript entitled “Assisted reproductive techniques improved fertility of congenital hypogonadotropic hypogonadism patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis” (ID: BEND-D-18-00229). Those reminders are all valuable and very helpful for revising and correcting our paper. We have studied carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and responds are as flowing:

1. Response to comment: “Please confirm whether your study was submitted to and approved by your institutional ethics committee and include a statement to this effect in your “ethical approval and consent to participate” section of your declarations.”

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. As for ethical approval, all analyses were based on previous published studies, thus no ethical approval and patient consent are required.
2. Response to comment: “We strongly encourage all authors to share their raw data, either by providing it in a supplementary file or depositing it in a public repository and providing the details on how to access it in this section.”

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

3. Response to comment: “Please include a statement regarding the contributions of ALL authors under the “Authors’ Contribution” section of the Declaration.”

Response: We have re-written this part according to the Reviewer’s suggestion. Every author’s contribution was listed in this portion. All authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

4. Response to comment: “Please include the email addresses for all authors on the title page.”

We are very sorry for our negligence of including the email address for all of the authors, and now this portion was added.

5. We have adjusted the format of our manuscript according to your proposal, such as additional files, figure titles/legends, declarations and subtitles. We hope these would suitable for BMC Endocrine Disorders. Special thanks to you for your good comments.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We appreciate for Editors’ warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best wishes,

Yinjie,Gao