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Reviewer’s report:

The case report is interesting, but in my opinion it is too long.

Just a few examples:

- considering that CLAH is a well known disease and the reported clinical scenario is absolutely typical, table 1 describing laboratory data is not so useful. Moreover, lots of data are lacking and the table looks almost empty

- the description of the growth pattern is too long and not so useful. We may suppose that the reported growth deceleration was not a typical clinical feature directly related to CLAH, but it was the result of the long-lasting poor hormonal balance

- the fact that adrenal glands were found very small at CT scan should be discussed with more details. At what age did the child undergo adrenal CT scan? How long after the start of steroid therapy? Steroid therapy could have contributed to the decrease of adrenal gland size

- page 4, line 91 There is prpbably a mistake. Hypokalemia should be replaced with hyperkalemia

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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Needs some language corrections before being published
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