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Subject: Cover letter for submission of a revised manuscript to

BMC endocrine disorders

Dear Editor,

I am pleased to submit the revised research article BEND-D-18-00039 for consideration of publication in BMC endocrine disorders. In this revised manuscript, we have addressed all the questions raised by the reviewers point by point. The whole manuscript is reviewed for the English language by reviewers who have experience of working in reviewing manuscripts and by native speakers of the language.
We believe that this revised manuscript is appropriate for publication by BMC endocrine disorders because it is in line with the aim and the scope of the journal. Our manuscript creates a paradigm for future studies on diabetes and its complications.

This manuscript has not been published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. We have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Please address all correspondence concerning this manuscript to me at haileabfekadu@gmail.com

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Haileab Fekadu
Corresponding author

Point by point answer to reviewers

Aldo Ferreira-Hermosillo, MD, MSc (Reviewer 2)

Questions

1. The manuscript still has orthographic mistakes (e.g. type II DM, patents, deslipidemia).

   Answer: Thank you so much for showing as this gap. We accepted the comment and we have corrected all of the orthographic mistakes in the revised version of the manuscript.

2. In the section discussion, the paragraph that compares the results with those of Kenya and India should be rewritten since it's difficult to understand.
Answer: Thank you very much. We accepted the comment and we rewrite this paragraph in more understandable and clear way in the revised version of the manuscript.

3. I suggest a final review of the grammar and orthography of the text.

Answer: Thank you very much. We accepted the comment and the whole manuscript is reviewed again for grammar and orthography of the text by English language reviewers who had experience in reviewing manuscripts and by native speakers of the language.

Amutha Anandakumar, PhD (Reviewer 3)

Question

1. The authors need to look for spell check and few typo errors in the manuscript.

Answer: Thank you very much. We accepted the comment and the whole manuscript is reviewed again for grammar and type errors of the text by English language reviewers who had experience in reviewing manuscripts and by native speakers of the language.