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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for choosing me to review the paper Comparison of Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQOL) among Patients with Pre-diabetes, Diabetes and Normal Glucose Tolerance, Using the 15D-HRQOL Questionnaire in Greece. The DEPLAN Study. The purpose of the study was to compare the Health-Related Quality of Life

32 (HRQOL) of persons with pre-diabetes with that of diabetes or normal glucose tolerance (NGT),

33 using the validated HRQOL-15D questionnaire. In my opinion the aim was correctly written. Methods used were well chosen. The authors used a questionnaire with a validation for the Greek population.

The introduction and the discussion is well done, with the recent literature.

My main point is about changing the conclusions which seems to unclear. Maybe the authors may rewrite them. Would be grat to write practical implications that arise wform this interesting study.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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