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Reviewer's report:

Specific comments to the authors

1. In the Abstract, the methods section must be rewritten by giving the definitions used for Mets and hyperuricemia instead of giving details about sample collection procedure and data management.

2. In the manuscript, methods section, from line 3 to 6 which is regarding the city information can be removed as they are not related to this article.

3. Waist circumference definition should be added under collection techniques and instruments and also the guidelines used for Waist circumference.

4. Kindly give the apt reference for physical activity definition (reference no 27).

5. Under Results section, factors associated to hyperuricemia, the table 3 should be changed to Table 4. Expand DDM in Table 1 or explain as footnote. Kindly give mean and standard deviation for continuous variables in Table 1.

6. The discussion section needs to be rewritten by discussing some more studies related to hyperuricemia.

7. Spell check and grammar should be done through out the manuscript.

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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