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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Textual overlap

We note that the current submission contains 26% textual overlap with other previously published works. 9% overlap is within the same work as below:


Please refer to the attached iThenticate document and re-phrase these sections in your own words to ensure no/less overlap.

--Textual overlap was reduced. Could you please check it and let us know if the manuscript need more revision.
2. Ethics approval and consent to participate

The current statement is ‘Approval of ethical committee and written informed consent of participants were obtained before the study.’

Research involving human subjects, human material, or human data, must have been performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and must have been approved by an appropriate ethics committee. A statement detailing this, including the name of the ethics committee and the reference number where appropriate, must appear in all manuscripts reporting such research. Further information and documentation to support this should be made available to the Editor on request. Manuscripts may be rejected if the Editor considers that the research has not been carried out within an appropriate ethical framework.

Please include the name of the ethics committee and the reference number in this statement.

-- Ethical committee approval number is 19/36 (26.01.2015). I attached the approval statement

3. Standard care

We would like some more information regarding the nature of your study. Please clarify if all treatments patients received are considered standard care for their condition. If all treatments are part of standard care please add a statement reflecting this in the ethics and consent to participate section.

-- “All treatments that patients received are considered standard care for their condition” statement was added.
4. Consent for publication

The current statement ‘Yes’ is not a suitable statement for this section.

Please provide a suitable statement in accordance with the editorial guidelines as below:

If your manuscript contains any individual person’s data in any form (including individual details, images or videos), consent to publish must be obtained from that person, or in the case of children, their parent or legal guardian. Please provide details of this consent in this section.

If your manuscript does not contain any individual persons’ data, please state “Not applicable” in this section.

--Our manuscript contain in individual details and consent to publish was obtained from all patients and controls.

5. Availability of data and material

The current statement: ‘All data and material of the study are available’

“Availability of Data and Materials” section in the manuscript should detail where the data supporting their findings can be found. Availability of data and materials statements can take one of the following forms (or a combination of more than one if required for multiple datasets):

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the [NAME] repository, [PERSISTENT WEB LINK TO DATASETS]
The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article [and its supplementary information files].

Please revise your statement accordingly to make it clear that where/or how the raw data and materials can be accessed.

Please note that if you do wish to share your raw data and do not have consent from all patients to publish this data it will need to be de-identified.

Please also note that if you include your raw data as a supplementary file you will need to provide, after the References, a section titled “Additional files” where you list the following information about each of your supplementary files: * File name (e.g. Additional file 1), * Title of data, * Description of data. All additional files will also need to have been cited in the main manuscript.

— “All data and material of the study are available and all the data were recorded in SPSS. We can send you all data if it is requested

6. Authorship change form

Thank you for providing a change of authorship form. We note that as well as the addition of Mustafa Ozbek as han author, the order of authors has changed since original submission however, this has not been addressed in your change of authorship form. Please submit a new change of authorship form with the original authorship in Section 2 i.e. Muhammed Kizilgul, Ozgur Ozcelik, Selvihan Beysel, Seyfullah Kan, Bekir Ucan, Hakan Akinci, Mahmut Apaydin, Erman Cakal and the proposed new authorship in Section 4 i.e. Muhammed Kizilgul, Ozgur Ozcelik, Selvihan Beysel, Hakan Akinci, Seyfullah Kan, Bekir Ucan, Mahmut Apaydin, Mustafa
Ozbek, Erman Cakal. Please provide a justification for the addition of Mustafa Ozbek and for the changed of the order of authors in Section 3. Please note that currently, the contributions of Mustafa Ozbek do not qualify them for authorship. Please could you provide more detail regarding what their contribution to the revisions was.

Please note that an 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. According to the ICMJE guidelines, to qualify as an author one should have:

a) made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; AND

b) been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND

c) given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content; AND

d) agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

In Section 5 of the authorship change form please could you provide your updated Author Contributions’ statement.

The form can be found here: https://www.biomedcentral.com/getpublished/editorial-policies#authorship. Please return the form within 14 days by email to the Editorial Office (bmcendocdrdisord@biomedcentral.com).
7. Authors’ contributions

We note that the contributions of author Mustafa Ozbek (MO) have not been included in this section. Please revise this section in accordance with the authorship change form.

----We removed Mustafa Ozbek as an author based on your comment

8. Response to reviewers

Please remove the response to reviewers from the file inventory as it is no longer required at this stage of the editorial process.

--Response to reviewers was removed

9. Clean copy

Please ensure that when you upload your revised submission that it is in the final form for publication. Please remove any tracked changes or highlighting and include only a single clean copy of the manuscript (and please ignore any automated requests to show tracked changes). Should you wish to respond to these revision requests, please include the information in the designated input box only.

--I will attach a clean copy