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Reviewer's report:

Congratulation! This is a very actual and important work. There is a "metamorphosis" in the Type2 Diabetes treatment and the Metabolic Surgery is an important and developing field of the contemporary surgery. That is why all of the publications are extremely importants to support of this direction.

Very important limitation of this study is that 96% of the performed operations was gastric bypass and the effect of the other interventions is statistically is not strong enough, however the authors did not differentiate the techniques.

Please review the quality of written English/ I have found a few many Spanish words/caracters: desviacion, criterion, etc...

Please check the abbreviations as well and write the correct and full name of SEEN and SEEDO. Finally please pay attention to the updatode references. I missed the very important papers on diabetes treatment from the last years, for example "Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2017: Summary of Revisions" which was published in the Diabetes Care (2017 January).

In summary, the publication is very actual, very useful and after minor correction I can accept it.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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