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Reviewer's report:

Specific comments to the authors

1. In the Abstract, Background and methods are combined. Kindly give the methods section separately.

2. Introduction can be made short and crisp for easy reading.

3. Give reference for operational definition of ED.

4. In Table 1, the "type of diabetes" variable can be added.

5. Did the authors leave out the 24 subjects who were single from the analysis or they were also included?

6. Figure 1 doesn't add value to the article and hence it can be removed.

7. In Figure 2 and 3, the data values can be corrected to one decimal.

8. For Figure 3, the authors need to add axis titles.

9. In the Discussion section, first paragraph can be rewritten as some of the sentences do not read well. The authors need to add more reference articles for discussion which is lacking here.

10. In Page 12, the authors had said that the prevalence of ED varies between 35 and 90% worldwide, however in contrast to the above sentence, later in the same paragraph they have said there might be some discrepancy from the study conducted in Tanzania and Iran as their ED prevalence was 55 and 59.5%. Kindly explain.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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