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The Prevalence of Undiagnosed Type 2 diabetes in patients admitted with acute coronary syndrome: The utility of easily reproducible screening methods Muhammad Ali Karamat; Umar Raja; Susan Manley; Alan Jones; Wasim Hanif; Abd Tahrani BMC Endocrine Disorders

The manuscript is well written and describes the use of an algorithm to accurately diagnose diabetes in patients with ACS. Especially now that HbA1c has been widely implemented as a diagnostic tool for diabetes the topic is very timely and the specific use of the OGTT especially carved out in all populations and settings..

I only have some minor comments on the formatting:

1. One cannot start a sentence with a number which is not spelled out.

Line 30, page 1, abstract. 43% should be written as forty-three percent,

Line 3, Page 9, 198 patients without diabetes were approached.

2. HbA1c has been written as HBA1C at many places especially in the results section.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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