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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed all the issues raised. There remain some small language and typographical errors that should be addressed.

I still think there are some confusing sentences in the paper.

For example at the start of the discussion the authors talk about patients with type 2 diabetes having higher BMD compared to controls but with an increased fracture risk. The article does not address this issue- but looks at patients all with type 2 diabetes, and the authors argue that those with the highest BMD are protected in terms of bone. The article does not address fractures. I think this needs reworking to avoid confusion.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?

If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?

If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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