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Reviewer's report:

There is nothing new in this article, but it is an excellent review of the subject. Although I agree that the patients had Charcot osteoarthropathy, the authors did not prove it or distinguish it from osteomyelitis by doing a needle biopsy or PET scan.

They also did not do a vascular assessment, (Doppler ultrasound, ABI) and a neuropathy assessment (EMG, NC studies). They did not mention what percentage of these patients had ulcers. What treatment did they receive beside glycemic control?

The above criticism would improve the paper, but it is not absolutely necessary and it does not negate the author's conclusions.

The paper is an excellent review and should be published.
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