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Reviewer’s report:

Dear authors,

The paper is aims to compare the quality of life of three groups (group 1; septoplasty, group 2; septoplasty combined with radiofrequency therapy of inferior turbinates (RFIT) and group 3; alone RFIT).

Interventions;

1. 1- VAS and HQOL on SNOT-20

2. 2- HQOL on SF-36.
  – -Scores of preoperative and postoperative six months of each groups were compared.

Used statistical tests;

1. The Pearson chi-square test and Fisher exact test were used to compare the categorical and ordinal variables .-> suitable.

2. The Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon signed ranked test were used to compare the analyses of continuous variables. ->suitable.

  – ->Number of the sample size are correct in all tables.
  – ->in table-2, all p values are correct.
  – ->in table-3, all p values are correct.
  – ->All figures are suitable and adequate.

My initial recommendation;

  – ->The statistical analysis of results are adequate and suitable and the results were well-discussed through the discussion section of the manuscript.
– is to accept without revision.

Best regards.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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