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Reviewer's report:

This is a case report of an unusual glomus tumour in the head and neck. As such there are no controls or statistical analysis. The paper is quite well written and suitably unusual to warrant publication.

My main comment is that I had to resort to the internet to understand what made this tumour different from a head and neck paraganglionoma (aka glomus tumour)

This maybe my ignorance but as a head and neck surgeon this is the first thing that springs to mind when a gloms tumour is mentioned. A paragraph in the background section clarifying the difference between a paraganglionoma arising from glomus calls and this gloms tumour arising form gloms body would be helpful for the similarly ignorant.

Also I wonder if the authors carer to comment on the surgery. A highly vascular tumour particularly in a small child would perhaps benefit form embolisation - I assume this is not available locally? Perhaps the author could comment on this and also on techniques used intraoperatively to minimise blood loss which would be a concerning factor for many faced with undertaking such surgery.

Finally FIG 2 would benefit from fewer images choosing the most salient, perhaps pointing out features of anatomical and pathological relevance but including coronal, sagittal and axial images.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
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Does the work include the necessary controls?  
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English  
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests  
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons
CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal