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Reviewer's report:

Kindly make following changes:

1. Page 7, line 3 - change use if ear candles to use of ear candles

2. Page 8, line 57 - How were the depth and the volumes impacted calculated on otoscopic examination especially in cases with severe cerumen impaction with complete or almost complete occlusion of ear canal?

3. Page 10, line 41 - change self assessment on otological symptoms to self assessment of otological symptoms

4. Page 12, line 58 - Which statistical test was used? Was it Pearson's Chi Square test or the McNemar test?

5. Page 14, line 54 - you mention two patients reported dizziness. Did this resolve following removal of cerumen? If not then was it related to cerumen impaction?

6. Page 19, line 33 - change allowed upto 2 15 minutes treatments to allowed upto two 15 minutes treatments

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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