Reviewer’s report

Title: Review Article: Outcomes in Endoscopic Sinus Surgery

Version: 0 Date: 14 Mar 2016

Reviewer: Stephen Ball

Reviewer's report:

A thorough & detailed review of outcomes in endoscopic sinus surgery. On the whole the article is well written and comprehensively reviews the most important published literature. Although generally well written and presented, the article would be improved if it is possible to try to link up the different sections a little more. Contributing to this are a number of short sections with one or two references. Part of this may be the way the PDF document sent has been formatted across different pages with different fonts & paragraph spacing (I suspect this does not reflect the way the document was submitted or will be published).

This is a nice review and highlights some of the challenges in outcome measurement and the paucity of level 1 evidence in managing chronic sinusitis.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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