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Reviewer's report:

This is a report on the adaption of questionary tools to the Dutch situation. The study is well described, documented and discussed. Chronic wounds bear a great social and medical burden for patients, their families and society. Due to the heterogeneity of their pathogenesis and severity, assessment of chronic wounds is difficult and has mostly been done from the viewpoint of nurses. The present study had a relatively high percentage of diabetic food ulcers. In most Western countries, chronic venous insufficiency is the leading cause of chronic wounds. Please comment. Pain is one of the most important subjective issues leasing to impairment of quality of life. Pain can be due to the wound itself, in particular in arterial insufficiency. It could also be due to the treatment, i.e. dressing changes, type of dressings used. The wound QoL does not differentiate. What does that mean for optimizing wound care? Please refer to Int Wound J. 2008 Jun;5(2):159-71.
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