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Reviewer's report:

The study has a large sample size for robust analysis and the subject is of great importance. In the discussion you mainly focus on results and accordance with other studies examining this issue, but discussion on the implications of your (and others') findings is scarce. Most of the presented material is pure information, and you could consider more detailed discussion on the importance of the findings. As an example you could mention how guidelines often focus on severity, BSA and PASI, while patients with psoriasis in hard to treat area, (who perhaps suffer most) will have low PASI, BSA and even low evaluated severity. The paper could be the beginning of new evaluation tools, guidelines for approval of biological treatments, etc. Would you consider discussing such issues in more detail, also include a conclusion which also points out why your paper is innovative and of importance.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
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