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Reviewer’s report:

The paper report a eumycetoma case caused by Madurella mycetomatis, the review's comments as the following:1. Abstract should be less than 350 words. The background part can be shorter. 2. Do not repeatedly write down a specific year (like "in 2008") to describe the medical history. 3. How was the isolate identified as Madurella mycetomatis? Whether PCR or MALDI-TOF was performed? 4. Any figure of the direct microscopy? Better to show a figure of the septate hyphae and chlamydoconidia. 5. Have fungal and bacterial species names in italic, including the text and Table 1. 6. The discussion part is confusing and separated into too many paragraphs. Discussion architecture should be more well-organized. Combine similar discussion contents into one paragraph. 7. The conjunctions ("second", "finally") are not necessary. 8. Need to polish the Language.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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