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Author’s response to reviews:

Please find here responses addressing the queries on the submitted manuscript

1. Ethics

-- Please confirm whether your study was submitted to and approved by your institutional ethics committee and include a statement to this effect in your Methods section. Please also ensure that the full name of your ethics committee is included in this statement. If the need for ethics approval was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

• Please see the added text in the manuscript Methods section
2. Consent

-- Please confirm in the section 'Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate' whether informed consent, written or verbal, was obtained from all participants and clearly state this in your manuscript. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure. If the need for consent was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

• Please see the added text in the manuscript Methods section

3. Questionnaire

-- Please clarify whether the questionnaire used in your study was developed for this study or has previously been published elsewhere. If the questionnaire has been published elsewhere please provide a reference to it in your manuscript, if the questionnaire was developed for this study please upload an English language version as a supplementary file.

• Please note that similar to the original manuscript presenting the development of the ADCT (currently in peer review) I have added a reference link to the portal which holds the most up-to-date version of the ADCT. Similar to the situation for the original manuscript, the authors did not wish to provide the tool itself as it will be undergoing further statistical and cultural validations – hence only the most up-to-date versions will be available in the public within the portal.

4.5. Authors Contributions

This section is currently blank.

-- Please represent authors' names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors.

-- Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

• Please see the added information in the manuscript Authors’ Contribution section
6. Conclusions

-- Please add a “Conclusions” section after the “Discussion” section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research article and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.

• Please refer to the new conclusions section in the manuscript

7. ADM

The Availability of data and materials section refers to the raw data used in your study and presenting tables and figures is not sufficient to state that all data is contained within the manuscript and additional files. Please only use this statement if you have indeed provided all raw data on which your study is based. We strongly encourage all authors to share their raw data, either by providing it in a supplementary file or depositing it in a public repository and providing the details on how to access it in this section. If you do not wish to share your data, please clearly state this in this section along with a justification.

• Please see the added ADM section within the manuscript

Point: 8. Submission System – (Co-author Puneet Mahajan is not listed in the system but provided in the ms file, please update this on our system).

• Please note that Puneet Mahajan has always been listed in the system, we have checked and he is still listed there.

9. Abstract

Please reformat your abstract to be in line with our submission guidelines;

• Please see the revised outline in the manuscript, structured as per guidelines