Dear authors,

This paper reported about a case of a 68-year-old male with a diagnosis of gluteal acne conglobate that showed the acantholysis and the desmoglein autoantibodies; whose presence is rare in acne conglobate.

The paper provides insight in an interesting case and needs marginal changes for publication - in my opinion.

Abstract: The "take-away" lesson/s from your case (conclusion) is weakly written. Try to give it more significance.

Background: Are there any current studies available which are relevant in respect to your report? Maybe there is something similar or contrary reported?

Case presentation: How long had the patient received oral antibiotic treatment? "several months" - what does this mean?

Conclusion: Reference 2: with the clause "and this case suggests a relationship between pemphigus and the follicular occlusion triad" you again refer to your case, don't you? If I'm right, you should move reference [2] in front of the clause.

Conclusion: Similar to your abstract; try to give your conclusion more significance by e.g. changing your style of writing.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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